

**HLC 2023
Status Presentation
To
Provost Leadership Group**

Nov. 4, 2022

HLC 2023 Context

- 10-year accreditation cycle
- Previous visit 2013
- Accreditation under Open Pathway

HLC 2023 Context

Year 1:
2013



Year 4:
2017



Year 5:
2018



Year 7:
2020



Year 9:
2022



Year 10:
2023



- Year 4: Assurance Review (2017)
- No site visit
- All criteria received “Met”

HLC 2023 Context

Year 1:
2013



Year 4:
2017



Year 5:
2018



Year 7:
2020



Year 9:
2022



Year 10:
2023



- Years 5 thru 7: Submit a Quality Improvement Proposal
- Our Proposal: Improving Student Success in First Year Math Course (2020)

HLC 2023 Context

Year 1:
2013



Year 4:
2017



Year 5:
2018



Year 7:
2020



Year 9:
2022



Year 10:
2023



- Years 7 thru 9: HLC Review of Quality Initiative Report
- HLC accepted the quality initiative project proposal & provided a peer review (2022)

HLC 2023 Context

Year 1:
2013



Year 4:
2017



Year 5:
2018



Year 7:
2020



Year 9:
2022



Year 10:
2023



Year 10: Comprehensive Evaluation

- Submit comprehensive evaluation materials (Feb. 13, 2023)
- Comprehensive evaluation with site visit (Week of March 12)
- HLC decision

Project Phases

- Phase I: Collect evidence and complete the write-up by November 30.

- Phase II: Review, revise and submit write-up to HLC by Feb. 10.

- Phase III: Plan for & complete the HLC visit in March.

HLC Criteria For Accreditation

- Criterion 1 - Mission: The institution's mission is clear and articulated publicly; it guides the institution's operations.
- Criterion 2 - Integrity: Ethical and Responsible Conduct: The institution acts with integrity; its conduct is ethical and responsible.
- Criterion 3 - Teaching and Learning: Quality, Resources, and Support: The institution provides quality education, wherever and however its offerings are delivered.
- Criterion 4 - Teaching and Learning: Evaluation and Improvement.
- Criterion 5 - Institutional Effectiveness, Resources and Planning: The institution's resources, structures, and processes are sufficient to fulfill its mission, improve the quality .. and respond to future challenges ...
- Federal Compliance Filing

HLC Criteria and Core Components

- ❑ The five main HLC criteria for accreditation are subdivided
 - ❑ Typically three to five “core components”

 - ❑ Example core components for Criterion 3
 - ❑ **3.A.** The rigor of the institution’s academic offerings is appropriate to higher education.
 - ❑ **3.B.** The institution offers programs that engage students in collecting, analyzing and communicating information; in mastering modes of intellectual inquiry or creative work; and in developing skills adaptable to changing environments.
 - ❑ **3.C.** The institution has the faculty and staff needed for effective, high-quality programs and student services.
 - ❑ **3.D.** The institution provides support for student learning and resources for effective teaching.

- ❑ Each core component has about three to five rubric dimensions for evaluation

HLC Assurance Argument: Write-Up

- Write-up is based on the work of faculty, staff and administrators from 2013-2022
- Write-up will have links to evidence files so that reviewers can readily see the evidence
- Writing Teams
 - Chapter 1:** Rob Ducoffe
 - Chapter 2:** Debbie Ford, Tammy McGuckin, Steve Wallner
 - Chapter 3:** Amber Handy, John Standard, Gary Wood, Suresh Chalasani
 - Chapter 4:** John Standard, Suresh Chalasani
 - Chapter 5:** Jordania Leon-Jordan, Scott Menke
 - Federal Compliance Filing:** Scott Menke, Rhonda Kimmel, John Standard, Jordania Leon-Jordan
 - Technical Support:** Becky Tolejano

HLC Project Plan: Phase II

- Nov. 30: Preliminary versions of the write-up to be completed
- Nov. 30-Dec. 15: Writing teams to review and revise
- Dec. 15-Jan. 18: Request for feedback from campus (faculty, staff, and administrators)
- Jan. 19-Feb. 7: Revise write-up based on feedback
- Feb. 7-Feb. 10: Review and submit the write-up in the HLC system

How Can You Help?

- Timely response to information requests related to HLC
- Entering information in systems (e.g. faculty keeping AIM system current)
- Review and feedback
- Engaged with the process and be available to meet with the visit team

Conclusion

In the past ten years, ...

- Significant changes
- Resilience
- Several areas of excellence
- Commitment to continuous improvement